## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		Rafael Garcia-Cordova	Case Number:	11-6467M
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on September 7, 2011. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
FINDINGS OF FACT				
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:				
×	₹	The defendant is not a citizen of the United State	es or lawfully ad	mitted for permanent residence.
×	<ul> <li>The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.</li> <li>If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cus Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been dep or otherwise removed.</li> </ul>			
×				
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the	United States o	r in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reason to assure his/her future appearance.			
×	₹ .	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has substantial family ties to Mexico.	s no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
		There is a record of the defendant using numero	ous aliases.	
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement	nent contact by f	leeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maximum of		vears imprisonment.
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.  CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
1. 2.		There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee No condition or combination of conditions will reconditions REGA	e. asonably assure ARDING DETEN	TION
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.  APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE				
deliver a c Court.	IS OF	RDERED that should an appeal of this detention of	order be filed wit	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT Services	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify P Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview nestigate the potential third party custodian.			
DATED this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2011.				
		The state of the s	<b>—</b>	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge